

Galois theory and homology in quasi-abelian functor categories

N. Egner

Nadja Egner (nadja.egner@uclouvain.be)
 Université catholique de Louvain

Abstract.

In this presentation based on [2], I will consider the category $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$ of functors from a finite category \mathbb{T} to a quasi-abelian category \mathcal{A} , and show that, for any replete full subcategory \mathbb{S} of \mathbb{T} , the full subcategory \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$ with objects the functors $F: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $F(T) = 0$ for all $T \notin \mathbb{S}$ is a reflective and, moreover, torsion-free subcategory of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$. This implies that the corresponding Galois structure is admissible, and I will characterize the (higher) central extensions in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$ with respect to \mathcal{F} and the classes of regular epimorphisms in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$ and \mathcal{F} , respectively. More precisely, for a regular epimorphism α in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}$, the following conditions are equivalent:

1. α is a central extension.
2. The kernel $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ of α lies in \mathcal{F} .
3. The T -component α_T is an isomorphism for all $T \notin \mathbb{S}$.

Furthermore, I will give generalized Hopf formulae for homology.

Instances of the pair $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{T}}, \mathcal{F})$ are given by $(\text{Arr}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A})$, $(\text{Arr}^2(\mathcal{A}), 2\text{-Arr}(\mathcal{A}))$ and, more generally, $(\text{Arr}^n(\mathcal{A}), n\text{-Arr}(\mathcal{A}))$ for every $n \geq 1$, where $n\text{-Arr}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the category with objects the chain complexes in \mathcal{A} of length n . Since \mathcal{A} is assumed to be quasi-abelian, $\text{Arr}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Grpd}^n(\mathcal{A})$ of internal n -fold groupoids in \mathcal{A} and $n\text{-Arr}(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to the category $n\text{-Grpd}(\mathcal{A})$ of internal n -groupoids in \mathcal{A} .

Let me shortly recall the notions of (higher) central extensions and generalized Hopf formulae for homology.

Categorical Galois theory

Categorical Galois theory, see e.g. [3], generalizes both classical Galois theory and the theory of central extensions of groups. A *Galois structure* Γ consists of an adjunction

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{U} \end{array} \mathcal{F}$$

with unit η , and classes \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{L} of morphisms in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{F} , respectively, that satisfy certain conditions. For any object B in \mathcal{C} , this induces an adjunction

$$\mathcal{E}(B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F^B} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{U^B} \end{array} \mathcal{L}(F(B)),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(B)$, also denoted by $\text{Ext}(B)$, is the full subcategory of the slice category $\mathcal{C} \downarrow B$ with objects the morphisms in \mathcal{C} with codomain B . These are called the *extensions* of B . Categorical Galois theory is concerned with the study of the full subcategory $\text{CExt}(B)$ of $\text{Ext}(B)$ with objects the central extensions of B . This notion is defined in two steps:

- An extension $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called *trivial* if it lies in the essential image of U^B .
- It is called *central* if it is 'locally' trivial, i.e., there exists a *monadic extension* $p : E \rightarrow B$ such that the pullback $p^*(f)$ of f along p is a trivial extension.

If the Galois structure Γ is *admissible*, the fundamental theorem of categorical Galois theory asserts that, for any monadic extension $p : E \rightarrow B$, there is a characterization of the extensions of B , whose pullback along p is a trivial extension, in terms of internal actions of the *Galois pregroupoid* $\text{Gal}(E, p)$.

The central extensions with respect to the Galois structure Γ_{Ab} given by the adjunction

$$\text{Grp} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{I}} \end{array} \text{Ab},$$

where Ab and I are the abelianization and inclusion functors, respectively, and \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{Z} are the classes of surjective group homomorphisms in Grp and Ab , respectively, recover exactly the classical central extension of groups.

Generalized Hopf formulae for homology

In certain cases, see e.g. [1], the full subcategory $\text{CExt}(\mathcal{C})$ of central extensions in \mathcal{C} of $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C})$ induces itself a Galois structure Γ_1 with adjunction

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F_1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{U_1} \end{array} \text{CExt}(\mathcal{C})$$

with unit η^1 , and with \mathcal{E}^1 and \mathcal{Z}^1 the classes of *double extensions* defined relatively to \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{Z} , respectively. It turns out that the functor $[-]^1 : \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C})$ given on objects by $[f] := \text{Ker}(\eta_f^1)$, factors through \mathcal{C} , i.e., there exists a functor $[-]_1 : \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $[-]^1 = \iota^1 \circ [-]_1$, where ι^1 maps an object B to the extension $B \rightarrow 0$.

If $p : P \rightarrow B$ is a \mathcal{E} -projective presentation of B , the *second Hopf formula for homology* of B with respect to \mathcal{F} is defined as

$$H_2(B, \mathcal{F}) := \frac{[P] \cap \text{Ker}(p)}{[p]_1},$$

where $[P] := \text{Ker}(\eta_P)$. More generally, the $(n+1)$ -st Hopf formula for homology $H_{n+1}(B, \mathcal{F})$ is defined using the notions of n -fold central extensions and n -fold \mathcal{E} -projective presentations.

The generalized Hopf formulae with respect to the Galois structure Γ_{Ab} recover exactly the integral homology groups.

References

- [1] T. Everaert, M. Gran and T. Van der Linden, *Higher Hopf formulae for Homology via Galois Theory*, Adv. in Mathematics 217 (2008), 2231–2267.
- [2] N. Egner, *Galois theory and homology in quasi-abelian functor categories*, preprint arXiv:2403.12750, 2024.
- [3] G. Janelidze, *Categorical Galois theory: revision and some recent developments*, Galois connections and applications, Math. Appl., vol. 565, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2004, 139–171.