

The derivator associated to a dg-category

C. Sava

Francesco Genovese (francesco.genovese@unimi.it)

Chiara Sava (sava@karlin.mff.cuni.cz)
Charles University (Prague)

Abstract.

Derivators, introduced independently by Grothendieck, Heller, Franke and further developed by Groth (see [4], [3]), yield a model of higher categories based on the language of 2-categories. A *prederivator* is a 2-functor

$$\mathbb{D}: \mathbf{Cat}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAT}, \quad I \mapsto \mathbb{D}(I).$$

and a *derivator* is a prederivator with additional properties. Here, we denote by \mathbf{Cat} the 2-category of small categories and by \mathbf{CAT} the 2-category of large categories – we also disregard logic and “size” issues here.

Heuristically, a derivator can be viewed as a collection of “homotopy categories of diagrams”. A typical example is the *derivator associated to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C}* , defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{C}}(I) = h(\mathcal{C}^I), \tag{0.1}$$

where \mathcal{C}^I denotes the ∞ -category of functors from (the nerve of) I to \mathcal{C} and $h(-)$ denotes the homotopy category. Notice that, by taking $I = e$ the terminal category, we have $\mathbb{D}(e) = h(\mathcal{C})$. The homotopy category of \mathcal{C} itself does not contain enough information to reconstruct \mathcal{C} , but the derivator $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$ does, in some sense (see for instance [2]). The properties we require of derivators essentially allow us to define *homotopy Kan extensions* and in particular *homotopy limits and colimits*. This is crucial in any version of higher category theory.

Higher categories, and hence also derivators, have a wide range of applications. In particular, they naturally appear in *homotopical and homological algebra*. If A is a ring, we may investigate its properties by introducing its *derived category* $D(A)$. $D(A)$ is defined as the localization of the category of chain complexes of A -modules along quasi-isomorphisms, namely, morphisms inducing isomorphisms in cohomology. The derived category $D(A)$ is a *triangulated category*, which means that it has an additional structure allowing us to compute some homotopy limits and colimits in the form of *mapping cones*. Unfortunately, not all homotopy limits and colimits can be computed inside a triangulated category, and – even worse – they are *not functorial*.

What is true is that triangulated categories are almost always homotopy categories of higher categories; such higher categories, called *enhancements*, have the additional properties of being *stable*, which means that they in some sense behave like abelian categories. We have a theory of *stable ∞ -categories* and, not surprisingly, a theory of *stable derivators* [3]. If \mathcal{C} is a stable ∞ -category, the

derivator $\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (cf. (0.1)) is indeed a stable derivator. The derived category $D(A)$ has a natural stable ∞ -categorical enhancement, so it has also a stable derivator enhancement.

One might be content with the above picture, but there is catch. For a triangulated category, the most natural higher categorical enhancement is not described as a stable ∞ -category or a stable derivator, but as a *differential graded (dg-) category*. A dg-category is a category *enriched in chain complexes*. In particular, if \mathcal{A} is a dg-category, we may take its *homotopy category* $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ just by taking zeroth cohomology of hom complexes. If A is a ring, we may easily define its *derived dg-category* $D_{\text{dg}}(A)$, for which the equivalence $H^0(D_{\text{dg}}(A)) \cong D(A)$ holds. Dg-categories are in fact yet another model of higher categories, one which is best suited for applications to homological algebra.

Inside a given dg-category \mathcal{A} , we can define well behaved *homotopy limits and colimits*, and *functorial mapping cones*. A dg-category having such mapping cones is called *pretriangulated*. This is the differential graded version of stability: the homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ of a pretriangulated category \mathcal{A} has a natural structure of triangulated category.

Now, we know that we can define a (pre)derivator associated to an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . If we start from a pretriangulated dg-category \mathcal{A} , we may take its *dg-nerve* $N_{\text{dg}}(\mathcal{A})$, which is a stable ∞ -category, and then the associated (pre)derivator; still, in the existing literature there is no direct construction of a *(pre)derivator associated to a dg-category*. This work will close this gap. If \mathcal{A} is a dg-category, we define:

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(I) = H^0(\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(I, \mathcal{A})), \quad (0.2)$$

where $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(I, \mathcal{A})$ denotes the dg-category of *quasi-functors* [1] between the (free linear category generated by) I and \mathcal{A} . Quasi-functors are essentially “homotopically coherent functors” between dg-categories.

Our main result is that the above formula (0.2) yields a stable derivator, assuming that \mathcal{A} is pretriangulated and *homotopy complete and cocomplete*. To show this, we develop a new theory of *homotopy limits and colimits* in dg-categories. We will also discuss some interesting applications to [5, Theorem 4.2] and to Gorenstein projective modules. In particular, thanks to the Ph.D. thesis of H.Holm, we know that the dg-category of totally acyclic complexes of projective modules is a dg-enhancement of the stable category of Gorenstein projective modules. For a small category I and a suitable ring R with finitely many objects, we can define an algebra RI . We aim to show that the derivator associated to the dg-category of totally acyclic complexes of projective R -modules, evaluated in I , is equivalent to the category of totally acyclic complexes of projective RI -modules.

References

- [1] Alberto Canonaco and Paolo Stellari. Internal Homs via extensions of dg functors. *Adv. Math.*, 277:100–123, 2015.
- [2] Daniel Fuentes-Keuthan, Magdalena Kędziorek, and Martina Rovelli. A model structure on prederivators for $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 34:Paper No. 39, 1220–1245, 2019.
- [3] Moritz Groth. Derivators, pointed derivators and stable derivators. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(1):313–374, 2013.
- [4] Alexander Grothendieck. Les dérivateurs. Available online at <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/georges.maltsiniotis/groth/Derivateurs.html>.
- [5] Chiara Sava. ∞ -dold-kan correspondence via representation theory. arXiv Preprint, 2022.